

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/087,706	10/087,706 02/28/2002		Yuqun Zeng	12113/46002	7984	
26646	7590	11/23/2005		EXAMINER		
KENYON		ON	PATEL, ISHWARBHAI B			
ONE BROA		0004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2841		
				DATE MAILED: 11/23/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/087,706	ZENG, YUQUN	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841	

D. C. C. C. Elliss of a Assembly of				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Ishwar (I. B.) Patel	2841		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 November 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.		
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	-			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO	
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monthearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expression of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> </ol>	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered	because	
<ul> <li>(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NC ow);	TE below);		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		,		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ill be entered and an	explanation of	
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 andf 6-14</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition fo	r allowance	
See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/CD/08 DTO 4440) D	NI= (-)		
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13.  Other:	(P10/SB/08 or P10-1449) Paper	18 Pal 28	3 A S	
		AU.		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's argument that the secondary prior art of Annis or Takami does not disclose the deficiency of the primary art of Kawakami is not found persuasive. In the absence of the specific structure of connecting cables either in the detail description or in the figures, the examiner interpreted the claimed limitation "wherein the said dissipative coating layer is applied on all connecting cables of ESD sensitive devices" broadly, which a person of ordinary skill in the art would have interpreted and the prior art of Annis and Takami were used to show the cable connection of the component. Those prior arts were not used to show the dissipative coating layer. If the component is to be protected from the static charge than all the connection to the component also has to be provided with same coating. As an additional support, Frederickson et al., US Patent No. 5,160,374, in figure 1 and 2, discloses a semi-insulating layer (paint, 24) for surface discharge problem and further states that the layer (paint) must cover all the surfaces including integrated circuit packages. Also, it can be seen in figure 2, the wire, where it is connected to the board is also covered with the coating, column 4, line 30-40. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to provide the structure of Kawakami with coating layer applied on all connecting cables of ESD sensitive device, in order to protect the device from the damage caused by generation of static charge.

IBPOLIZER 1